

**SEWER RENOVATION CONTRACT
Decision Paper**

1. **INTRODUCTION.** Contract documents for the renovation of the trunk sewer system were prepared by P.H. Weis & Assoc. and the contract was bid in December, 1985. The low bid was 57% higher than the engineer's estimate. A problem now exists in that the total bond funding is only \$7.5 million and the work expected to be constructed from these funds, of which this project is a part, may cost \$10 million. Two major decisions need to be made in order to resolve this problem. They are:

What is to be constructed?

What type of contract is to be negotiated?

2. **FACTS.**

| | |
|-------------------------|-------------|
| a. Engineer's estimate: | \$3,400,000 |
| b. Other bids: Helmkamp | \$5,330,000 |
| McCarthy | \$5,627,020 |
| Keeley Bros | \$5,665,000 |
| J.S. Alberici | \$5,998,500 |
| Tarlton | \$7,152,000 |

3. **ALTERNATIVE CONSTRUCTION APPROACHES.**

a. Award as designed and bid. Est. \$5,330,000

1. **Advantages:**

Already designed and bid. Known cost unless unforeseen circumstances are discovered in construction. Good cross connection system.

2. **Disadvantages:**

U.E. right-of-way conflicts with box A. Relies on one central box, H. Adds two new boxes to system. Extends two boxes, (potential differential settlement problem). Must drive pile under high voltage wires. Requires R.R. track outage. Requires relocation of benzene line.

b. Eliminate part of Phase IIIA, (double 36"). Est. \$4,892,258

1. **Advantages:**

Can modify current contract with unit price deductions. Known cost unless unforeseen circumstances are discovered in construction. Good cross connection system. Does not require R.R. track outage.

2. **Disadvantages:**

U.E. right-of-way conflicts with box A. Relies on one central box, H. Adds two new boxes to system. Extends one box. Must drive pile under high voltage wires.

c. Eliminate all of Phase IIIA. Est. \$4,558,780

1. **Advantages:**

Can modify current contract with unit price deductions. Known cost unless unforeseen circumstances are discovered in construction. Good cross connection system. Does not require R.R. track outage.

2. **Disadvantages:**

U.E. right-of-way conflicts with box A. Relies on one central box, H. Adds two new boxes to system. Extends one box. Must drive pile under high voltage wires.

d. Eliminate Phase I and II pipe. Est. \$4,353,000

WGK 1484145

1. **Advantages:**

Can modify current contract with unit price deductions. Known cost

unless unforeseen circumstances are discovered in construction. Defers second pipe toward Rt. 3 until later phase when actually beneficial. Reduces number of boxes by one. No pile driving under wires.

2. Disadvantages:

Requires movement of benzene lines. Provides no H-D bypass. Relies on one central box. Requires extensive bypass pumping. Limits pipes under tracks to two. Requires R.R. track outage.

e. Redesign Alternate #3. Est. \$3,861,154

1. Advantages:

Adds fourth pipe under tracks. Requires no pile driving under high voltage wires.

2. Disadvantages: Adds one box to system. Relies on use of old, double 36" pipe or adds \$322,000 to cost to replace them. Realize no benefit from 42" pipe going to Rt. 3 from manhole D until connection in later phase; could defer construction until then delaying \$170,000 expense. Relies on one central box, E. Costs may increase if unforeseen circumstances are discovered. Must be redesigned and rebid or contracted on time and materials basis. Requires extensive R.R. track outage. Extends one box.

f. Redesign Alternate #4. Est. \$4,487,854

1. Advantages:

Provides wide dispersion of flow routes. Provides double cross connection H-G & D-E. Does not rely on one central box. No boxes are extended.

2. Disadvantages:

Adds two boxes to system. Costs may increase if unforeseen circumstances are discovered. Must be redesigned and rebid or contracted on time and materials basis. Requires extensive R.R. track outage. Requires pile driving under high voltage lines.

g. Redesign Alternate #5. Est. \$3,982,730

1. Advantages:

Reduces number of boxes in system by one. Extends no boxes. Requires no sheet pile under high voltage lines.

2. Disadvantages:

Relies on one central box. Costs may increase if unforeseen circumstances are discovered. Cannot tunnel H-D. Must be redesigned and rebid or contracted on time and materials basis. Requires extensive R.R. track outage.

h. Point Repairs, TV & Grout. Est. \$2,130,931

1. Advantages:

Owner retains total flexibility. Can do TV & grout first, then have all point repairs identified. Can schedule repairs to match funds. Do no unnecessary work. No bypass pumping. No sheet pile under high voltage lines. Can defer new line toward Rt. 3 until needed. Can quit when funds expended. Cannot overrun budget despite problems encountered. Can add new lines as desired if funds are remaining. Adds no boxes. Functionality of system is proven.

2. Disadvantages:

Uncertain cost. Must do on time and materials basis. Requires close owner supervision. Owner takes all risk. Repair under T.R.R.A. tracks will be difficult. Does not improve system. Relies on one central box.

4. CONTRACT ALTERNATIVES.

WGK 1484146

a. Award as Bid.

1. Advantages:

Fixed cost. Low risk. At this time, will be a minimum of work to execute. Requires minimal management.

2. Disadvantages:

High cost, exceeding money available. Little flexibility. Requires much supervision to assure quality. No incentive for contractor to save owner money.

b. Rebid Lump Sum.

1. Advantages:

Fixed cost. Low risk. Requires minimal management. Can adjust scope of work by redesigning.

2. Disadvantages:

Low probability of savings from rebid. Delays award date. Little flexibility. Requires close supervision to assure quality. No incentive for contractor to save owner money.

c. Negotiate Adjusted Lump Sum.

1. Advantages:

Fixed cost. Low risk. Requires minimal management. Can adjust scope of work by redesigning. Small delay for award date.

2. Disadvantages:

Must reduce scope to reduce price. No incentive for contractor to save owner money. Low flexibility. Requires close supervision to assure quality. High probability of getting less construction for dollars paid.

d. Time and Materials.

1. Advantages:

Flexibility. Control costs. Pay only for work done. Adjust work to meet changing requirements. No incentive to do poor quality work. No delay in award date.

2. Disadvantages:

Requires close supervision or costs soar. No incentive for contractor to save owner money. Requires knowledgeable staff to manage. Must manage own project. Owner assumes all risk.

e. T&M with Ceiling.

1. Advantages:

Flexibility. Control costs. Pay only for work done. Adjust work to meet changing requirements. No incentive to do poor quality work. No delay in award date. Limits risk.

2. Disadvantages:

Requires close supervision or costs soar to the guaranteed maximum. No incentive for contractor to save owner money. Requires knowledgeable staff to manage. Must manage own project. Owner assumes some risk.

f. T&M with Savings Sharing.

1. Advantages:

Flexibility. Control costs. Pay only for work done. Adjust work to meet changing requirements. No incentive to do poor quality work. No delay in award date. Limits risk.

2. Disadvantages:

Requires knowledgeable staff to manage. Must manage own project. Owner assumes some risk.

g. T&M with Award Fee.

WGK 1484147

1. Advantages:

Flexibility. Control costs. Pay only for work done. Adjust work to meet changing requirements. No delay in award date. Incentive to do

good work and keep owner pleased.

2. Disadvantages:

Requires knowledgeable staff to manage. Must manage own project. Owner assumes all risk.

5. RECOMMENDATION.

The most cost effective alternative is to perform point repairs, televise and grout. Almost all of this work is in the current project including the televising and grouting, manhole relining, excavation, small miscellaneous items and overhead. The added work includes the estimated three point repairs where pipe would be replaced, raking and regrouting the joints in existing line E-B, televising and grouting the 30" line from manhole H to box E, replacing the double 36" line between boxes G and E, and relining box G. If after inspecting line E-B it was found to be unservicable, it could be replaced with a parallel line to line D-B. This alternative, however, simply rehabilitates the existing system and does not improve it.

Should system improvement be imperative, redesign alternate #5 is the best value. The one major drawback of this design is the concentration of flows through one, central box. This disadvantage can be minimized by constructing the box so that flows can be diverted in all directions.

The form of contract recommended is time and materials with award fee. This form will allow close control of the work and management of the project while providing an incentive for the contractor not only to help in reducing field costs, but also to assist in the management of the job.

WGK 1484148

P.H. WEIS & ASSOCIATES INC.
ENGINEERS / ARCHITECTS / PLANNERS
PROJECT NO. 7313-B4-2

BID TABULATION
VILLAGE OF SAUGET, ILLINOIS
SEWER REHABILITATION
PHASES I, II & IIIA

DECEMBER 19, 1985

| | J. S. Alberici Construction Co., Inc. | Keeley Bros. Contracting Company | McCarthy Brothers Company | Tarlton Corporation | Helmkamp Construction Company | |
|--|---|--|---------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| BID BOND | 5% | 5% | 5% | 5% | 5% | |
| BASE BID | \$5,998,500.00 | \$5,665,000.00 | \$5,724,720.00 | \$7,152,000.00 | \$5,330,000.00 | |
| ALTERNATE NO. 1 - 2' Furan Conc. Slab in Lieu of Furan Fiberglass Reinforced Panels | +60,000.00 | +67,500.00 | +51,634.00 | +100,000.00 | +60,000.00 | |
| | +30 days | 0 days | 0 days | +200 days | 0 days | |
| ALTERNATE NO. 2 - Open Excavate in Lieu of Tunneling | +65,000.00 | +225,000.00 | +276,345.00 | +1,000,000.00 | -50,000.00 | |
| | +30 days | +21 days | +30 days | +200 days | +30 days | |
| ALTERNATE NO. 3 - Furan Conc. Buttresses in Lieu of Arched Brick Buttresses | No Change | +57,000.00 | -6,800.00 | +70,000.00 | No Bid | |
| | 0 days | 0 days | 0 days | +30 days | | |
| ALTERNATE NO. 4 - Oversize Tunnel to Construct Full Furan Tamping Mix Jt. in Tunnel | +525,000.00 | +650,000.00 | -90,900.00 | +500,000.00 | No Bid | |
| | +60 days | +86 days | -15 days | +100 days | | |
| ADDENDA NOTED | 1 Thru 4 | 1 Thru 4 | 1 Thru 4 | 1 Thru 4 | 1 Thru 4 | |

47,700

5,627,020

MCK 1484149

ELIMINATE PART OF PHASE IIIA
(HELMKAMP PRICES)

| | |
|---|-------------------|
| Removal of Box G | \$ 15,000 |
| Extension of Box D | |
| Concrete (27.86 cu.yds. @ \$200.00/cu.yd.) | 5,572 |
| Forms (340 sq.ft. @ \$12.50/sq.ft.) | 10,500 |
| Reinf. (5,300 lbs. @ \$1.00/lb.) | 5,300 |
| Acid Brick & Membranes (360 sq. ft. @ \$80.00/sq.ft.) | 28,800 |
| Exterior Membrane (270 sq.ft. @ \$20.00/sq.ft.) | 5,400 |
| Volclay Panels (160 sq.ft. @ \$5.00/sq.ft.) | 800 |
| Fiberglass Panels (120 sq.ft. @ \$20.00/sq.ft.) | 2,400 |
| Removal of Existing Wall | 10,000 |
| Crushed Stone Base | 1,000 |
| Earthwork (4,700 cu.yds. @ \$15.00/cu.yd.) | 70,500 |
| New 36" VCP (172 LF @ \$400/LF) | 68,800 |
| Sheet Piling (2,500 sq.ft. @ \$30/sq.ft.) | 75,000 |
| Track Removal & Replacement (300 LF @ \$85.00/LF) | 25,500 |
| Clean, Televise & Grout 2 - 36" VCP | |
| Cleaning & Televising (580 LF @ \$76.50/LF) | 44,370 |
| Grouting & Testing (1933 gal. @ \$11.00/gal.) | 21,300 |
| Remove Existing 30" VCP (30 LF @ \$250.00/LF) | 7,500 |
| Remove Existing 36" VCP (100 LF @ \$300.00/LF) | 30,000 |
| Install Bulkheads | 5,000 |
| Grout Existing 30" VCP (125 LF @ \$40.00/LF) | 5,000 |
| Estimated Deduction | <u>\$ 437,742</u> |

WGK 1484150

ELIMINATE ALL OF PHASE 111A
(HELMKAMP PRICES)

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Removal of Box G | \$ 15,000 |
| Repair & Extension of Box D | |
| Concrete (31 cu.yds. @ \$200.00/cu.yd.) | 6,200 |
| Forms (904 sq.ft. @ \$12.50/sq.ft.) | 11,300 |
| Reinf. (5,800 lbs. @ \$1.00/lb.) | 5,800 |
| Acid Brick & Membranes (656 sq.ft. @ \$30.00/sq.ft.) | 52,480 |
| Exterior Membrane (495 sq.ft. @ \$20.00/sq.ft.) | 9,900 |
| Volclay Panels (160 sq.ft. @ \$5.00/sq.ft.) | 800 |
| Fiberglass Panels (176 sq.ft. @ \$20.00/sq.ft.) | 3,520 |
| Removal of Wall & Top Slab | 15,000 |
| Crushed Stone Base | 1,000 |
| Patching Walls (13 batches @ \$250.00/batch) | 3,250 |
| Bow Existing Walls & Floor | 5,000 |
| Riser & Collar | 3,500 |
| Top Slab on Riser | 1,000 |
| Earthwork (4,700 cu.yds. @ \$15.00/cu.yd.) | 70,500 |
| Trench Excav. 36" VCP (200 LF @ \$250.00/LF) | 50,000 |
| New 36" VCP (372 LF @ \$400.00/LF) | 148,800 |
| Sheet Piling (4,500 sq.ft. @ \$30.00/sq.ft.) | 135,000 |
| Track Removal & Replacement (500 LF @ \$85.00/LF) | 42,500 |
| Clean, Televis & Grout 2 - 36" VCP | |
| Cleaning & Televising (580 LF @ \$76.50/LF) | 44,370 |
| Grouting & Testing (1,933 gal. @ \$11.00/gal.) | 21,300 |
| Remove Existing 30" VCP (100 LF @ \$250.00/LF) | 25,000 |
| Remove Existing 36" VCP (100 LF @ \$300.00/LF) | 30,000 |
| Install Bulkheads | 5,000 |
| Grout Existing 30" VCP (125 LF @ \$40.00/LF) | 5,000 |
| Removal of Manhole H | 5,000 |
| By-Pass Pumping | 30,000 |
| Open Excavate in Lieu of Tunnel (36") | <u>25,000</u> |
| Estimated Deduction | \$ 771,220 |

WGK 1484151

ELIMINATE PART OF PHASE IIIA
(P. H. WEIS & ASSOC. REPORT)

| | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Removal of Box G | \$ 10,000 |
| Extension of Box D | 140,000 |
| Installation of 2 - 36" VCP | 143,000 |
| Abandon 36" Lines | 5,000 |
| Clean, Televis & Grout 2 - 36" Lines | <u>30,000</u> |
| | 328,000 |
| | <u>x 1.57**</u> |
| Estimated Deduction | \$ 515,000 |
| New Base Bid Price | \$ 4,815,000 |

** $\frac{\text{Helmkamp Price} - \$ 5,330,000}{\text{PHW Estimate} - \$ 3,400,000} = 1.57$

WGK 1484152

ELIMINATE ALL OF PHASE IIIA
(P. H. WEIS & ASSOC. REPORT)

| | |
|---|------------------|
| Tunnel 36" Line Under Tracks | \$ 224,000 |
| Elimination of Manhole H & Connect to Box H | 39,000 |
| Elimination of Box G | 10,000 |
| Repair & Extend Box D | 240,000 |
| Install 2 - 36" Lines | 143,000 |
| Abandon 36" Lines | 5,000 |
| Clean, Televiser & Grout 2 - 36" Lines | <u>30,000</u> |
| | 691,000 |
| | <u>x 1.57 **</u> |
| Estimated Deduction | \$ 1,084,870 |
| New Base Bid Price | \$ 4,245,130 |

$$** \frac{\text{Helmkamp Price}}{\text{PHW Estimate}} = \frac{\$ 5,330,000}{\$ 3,400,000} = 1.57$$

WGK 1484153

REDUCED COST ESTIMATES

for Sewer Rehab Ph I, II & IIIA

1/5

ASSUMPTIONS

- Costs are based on Low Bidder's unit prices.
- All other work remains the same.
- Some costs are extracted from P.H. Weis & Assoc. estimates

ALT. #1

Description: Abandon: Box E and Lines E-B, E-D, E-H and E-G

Install: New box G on double 36"; New box E south of old box E,
New box H downstream of Manhole H, Lines H-D, H-E,
E-G and extend double 36" for future use between E &
an extension on box G.

SAVINGS:

| | | |
|---|----------|----|
| eliminate: demolition of box G | \$15,000 | PA |
| Box construction is a wash | 0 | |
| Earth work | 70,500 | PA |
| 42" VCP, 545 Lf @ \$500/Lf | 272,500 | |
| Tunnel, 515 Lf @ 1076/Lf (Mc Graw) | 553,690 | |
| Sheet Pile 155' x 30' x 30 | 139,500 | |
| Clean TV @ 76.50 x 180 Lf @ | 13,770 | |
| Grout, 180 Lf x 10.7 gal/Lf x \$11 | 21,156 | |
| Remove 30" VCP | 7,500 | PA |
| Relocate 2 benz lines: 340' bore x 2 x \$200/Lf | 136,000 | |
| 300' 11" x 2 x \$50 | 30,000 | |
| Trench 112" 155 Lf @ 350 | 54,250 | |

ST - 1,348,896

Increase: 170' of 36" VCP @ \$400/Lf

68,000

trench 36" 170' @ \$250/Lf

42,500

RA Track: (200' x 50" - 240') x \$30/Lf

27,800

Remove Box E

30,000

ST + 163,300

WGK 1484154

net - 1,185,596

CONTRACT COST: 4,144,404

ALT. #1A

increase: demolish 240' of 36" CE VCP x \$400/Lf

96,000

install 240' of 36" VCP x \$400/Lf

96,000

Trench 120' of 112" x \$350/Lf

42,000

Tunnel 20' @ 2000

40,000

COST 4,144,726

ALT #1B

eliminate 135' of 36" VCP @ 400

~~- 54,000~~

net - 1,239,596

COST 4,090,404

ALT #2

same as ALT #1 except:

eliminate: 30' of 42" VCP @ 500

15,000

155' of 36" VCP @ 400

62,000

155' of Trench @ 250/LF

38,750

Tracks 50' x 5' x 30

7,500

Sheet pile 40' x 30' x 30

~~36,000~~

159,250

net - 1,344,846

COST 3,985,154

net - 1,022,846

COST 4,307,154

net - 1,398,846

COST 3,931,154

ALT #2A

+ 322,000

ALT #2B

- 54,000

ALT #3

Same as ALT #2 Less a box @ 70,000

net - 1,414,846

COST 3,915,154

ALT #3A

+ 322,000

COST 4,237,154

ALT #3B

- 54,000

COST 3,861,154

Eliminate PA I+II Pile

eliminate:

42" VCP 745' x 500/LF

372,500

Tunnel 350' x 400/LF

140,000

1 Box @ 100,000

100,000

Pile 150' x 30' x 30/LF

135,000

Shorten Borehole lines @ 100,000

100,000

Trench 370' x 350/LF

~~129,500~~

net - 977,000

COST @ 4,353,000

WGK 1484155

ALT #4

3/5

| | | |
|------------|--|----------------|
| eliminate: | demolition of Box G | 15,000 PH |
| | Box construction is a wash | 0 |
| | 245' 42" VCP @ \$500/LF | 122,500 |
| | 155' 36" VCP @ \$400/LF | 62,000 |
| | slut pile is a wash | 0 |
| | Tunnel 465 LF @ \$1046/LF | 538,690 |
| | clean + TV 180 LF @ 76.50 | 13,770 |
| | Grout - 180 LF @ 10.7 gal/LF x \$11/LF | 21,186 |
| | remove 30" VCP | 7,500 PH |
| | relocate Benzene Lines | <u>216,000</u> |
| | | - 996,646 |

| | | |
|-----------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| INCREASE: | Remove Box E | 39,000 |
| | Trench 42", 270' @ \$350/LF | 94,500 |
| | De-water well | <u>30,000</u> |
| | | + 154,500 |

net - 842,146
cost 7,487,854

ALT #5

| | | |
|------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| eliminate: | MND & 2 boxes x 100,000 | 300,000 |
| | 60" Tunnel 395' x \$1046/LF | 413,170 |
| | 42" VCP CE 270' x \$500/LF | 145,000 |
| | 36" VCP CE 225' x \$400/LF | 90,000 |
| | Trench 170' x \$350/LF | 59,500 |
| | Pile 150' x 30' x 30' SF | 133,000 |
| | Benzene Lines | <u>216,000</u> |
| | | - 1,358,670 |

WGK 1484156

| | | |
|-----------|----------------------------|----------|
| INCREASE: | RR Tracks, 380' x \$30 /LF | + 11,400 |
|-----------|----------------------------|----------|

net - 1347,270
cost 3,982,730

PROJECT COST EST
Based on UNIT PRICES

4/5

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Manholes: Box 'D' extension @ | 70,000 |
| " J " | 50,000 |
| Box H | 150,000 |
| Box A | 150,000 |
| Reline D: $118,750 - 69,772 = 48,978$ | 50,000 |
| B | 100,000 |
| C | 75,000 |
| J | 100,000 |
| I | 75,000 |
| PIPE: 42" VCP 760' x \$500 | 380,000 |
| 36" VCP 375' x \$400 | 150,000 |
| Tunnel: 72" 350' @ 995 | 349,250 |
| 60" 165' @ 1046 | 172,590 |
| Sheet Pile: 330' x 70' x \$30.15 F | 297,000 |
| TV + GROUT: 2855' x $(76.50 + 10.7 \times 11)$ | 554,441 |
| Track Removal: | 25,500 |
| Barge Lines | 216,000 |
| Trench: 42" 370' x \$350 | 129,500 |
| 210' x 250 | 52,500 |
| | <hr/> |
| | 3,145,781 |

WGK 1484157

POINT REPAIRS, TV & GROUTAssumptions:

Required repairs are indicated by current sinkholes.
 Replacement of 30' of VCP will be sufficient at each Bim
 Pipe can otherwise be repaired by roking and regrouting
 joints w/ Furan Tamping mix from inside.
 Pipes presently designed for TV & Grout will
 not need additional work.
 Boxes presently designed for relining will need
 relining.

Description:

TV + Grout all Lines in current contract plus 30"
 VCP from manhole H To Box E.
 Reline boxes B, C, D, G, J & I
 Replace 30' of VCP CE:
 West of Box C (double 36")
 On Line E-B under TRRA Tracks and West of Box E
 Replace double 36" G-E
 Remake joints of Line E-B

COST EST:

| | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|
| TV + Grout | 3055' @ \$194.20 | 593,281 |
| Reline boxes | 70,000 for G + 400,000 | 470,000 |
| Replace VCP: double unit price | \$800 x 120' | 96,000 |
| Trench: | 120' x 350/LF | 42,000 |
| Replace double 36" | 30' x 2, x \$400/LF | 24,000 |
| excavations: | 5000 cy x \$15 | 75,000 |
| Remake joints: | \$400 - \$100/LF = \$300/LF x 190' | 57,000 |
| | | <u>1,357,281</u> |
| | | x 1.57 |
| | | <u>2,130,931.20</u> |

$\Sigma \text{ Unit Prices} \times \text{quantities} \approx \text{Eng's est.} \approx \frac{3.4}{1.57} \therefore$
 COST \$ 2,130,931.20

WGK 1484158